

Cambridge Waste Water Treatment Plant Relocation Project Anglian Water Services Limited

Appendix 12.1: Health Screening

Application Document Reference: 5.4.12

PINS Project Reference: WW010003 APFP Regulation No. 5(2)a

Revision No. 01 April 2023



Document Control

Document title	Health Screening
Version No.	01
Date Approved	26.01.23
Date 1 st Issued	30.01.23

Version History

Version	Date	Author	Description of change
01	30.01.23	-	DCO Submission

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from us and from the party which commissioned it



Contents

1	Scope and methodology		
	1.1	Scope of review	1
2	2 Literature sources		.3
3	Eva	luating the strength of evidence	. 5

Tables

Table 1-1: Wider determinants of health and wellbeing outcomes
--



1 Scope and methodology

1.1 Scope of review

- 1.1.1 The purpose of this document is to provide an overview of the scientific consensus on the types of health outcome associated with impacts on health determinants assessed in the health assessment presented in Volumes 2, 3 and 5 of the ES. A literature search has reviewed evidence published between 2017 and 2022.
- 1.1.2 There is no formal guidance on considering health within the context of EIA. The Institute of Environmental Management & Assessment (IEMA) have published 'Health in Environmental Impact Assessment; A Primer for a Proportionate Approach' (Cave B. et al., 2017). This document provides a high-level introduction to considering public health in EIA. Regard has also been given to the South Cambridgeshire Supplementary Planning Guidance for Health Impact Assessment.
- 1.1.3 A Public Health England guide to health impact assessment in spatial planning has also been considered as part of this assessment methodology (PHE, 2021). The guide supplements existing HIA guidance for the UK and aims to clarify the process of establishing HIA policies and requirements to users of the planning system. Table A.1, as adapted from Public Health England (2022, p.14), summarises a list of factors that an HIA can seek to address; ranging from health improvement to health protection issues. The health considerations are explained in further detailed below and reflect how health outcomes relate to the wider determinants of health and wellbeing such as access to services, in-combination amenity effects, and socio-economic factors.



Table 1-1: Wider determinants of health and wellbeing outcomes

HIA health considerations	Wider determinants of health and wellbeing		
	Access	Amenity effects	Socio-economic
Reduce health inequalities Improve mental health and wellbeing Improve diet and weight Improve musculoskeletal health Improve respiratory health Improve cardiovascular health	 Natural environment, green spaces and public realm, including PRoW Leisure, sport, recreation, play and physical activities Access to local services (e.g. health, social care and educational facilities) Opportunities for active 	 in noise, air quality, dust, odour, traffic and visual effects Accessibility and community severance Potential water pollution, hazardous waste and substances, and increases in 	 Temporary increase in local employment due to presence of construction workforce and procurement of local goods and services Temporary concern for surrounding communities due to presence of construction workforce affecting social cohesion Connections to jobs
Protect environmental health	travel (cycling and walking)		
Provide access to health and care infrastructure			 Neighbourhood quality (community/ social cohesions and access to social networks)

Source: (PHE, 2021)



2 Literature sources

- 2.1.1 The search engines and databases used in conducting this review were Google and Google Scholar.
- 2.1.2 The following search engines and databases were unavailable when conducting this review:
 - Biomed Central;
 - JSTOR;
 - National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Evidence Search;
 - Pubmed;
 - ScienceDirect; and
 - Scientific American.

Search for evidence on health determinants

- 2.1.3 The topics covered in this review correspond to the health determinants that have been assessed in the health sections of the ES.
- 2.1.4 The following health determinants are assessed in this review:
 - environment (amenity value of the local environment including air quality, odour, traffic, noise and visual);
 - polluted water
 - hazardous waste
 - pests
 - access to green space and physical activity;
 - access to local services;
 - education; and
 - social capital.
- 2.1.5 The available literature on links between the above determinants and health outcomes is, in general, not explicitly related to infrastructure projects. The search terms used in relation to broad determinants of health included 'health' OR 'wellbeing' OR 'well-being' and terms such as:
 - education/training/employment/unemployment/jobs/income/regeneration;
 - transport/active transport/active travel/connectivity;
 - housing/residential;



- social capital/isolation;
- green space/greenspace/open space/nature;
- sense of place/built environment;
- physical activity/exercise; and
- local services/local facilities/neighbourhood services/access to services.



3 Evaluating the strength of evidence

- 3.1.1 The strength of evidence for health outcomes associated with health determinants has been evaluated and classified as follows:
 - strong: a wide range of peer-reviewed research studies showing similar associations. The association is widely accepted by the public health community and there is consensus on the specific causal factors, the mechanism of effect and the strength of association;
 - moderate: a range of peer-reviewed research studies showing similar associations. The association is widely accepted by the public health community, though there may be debate about the specific causal factors, the mechanism of effect and/or the strength of association; or
 - weak: a few peer-reviewed/non-peer reviewed research studies to suggest an association, or studies showing conflicting findings.
- 3.1.2 It should be noted that weak evidence does not necessarily indicate an absence of association between a health determinant and a health outcome, but shows that there is uncertainty in the assessment of the likely effect.



References

- Cave B. et al. (2017). *Health in Environmental Impact Assessment: A Primer for a Proportionate Approach. Ben Cave Associates Ltd., IEMA and the Faculty of Public Health.* Retrieved from IEMA: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316968065_Health_in
- PHE. (2021, October). *Health Impact Assessment in spatial planning: A guide for local authority public health and planning teams.* . Retrieved from PHE: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/u



Get in touch

You can contact us by:



Emailing at info@cwwtpr.com

Calling our Freephone information line on 0808 196 1661



Writing to us at Freepost: CWWTPR

Visiting our website at

You can view all our DCO application documents and updates on the application on The Planning Inspectorate website:

https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/cambri dge-waste-water-treatment-plant-relocation/

